## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CASE NO. 04-10258NG

| ARTHUR PERNOKAS,     | ) |
|----------------------|---|
| Plaintiff            | ) |
|                      | ) |
| VS.                  | ) |
| BARRIE PASTER, M.D., | ) |
| Defendant            | ) |
|                      | ) |
|                      | ) |

## MOTION OF DEFENDANT, BARRIE PASTER, M.D. FOR DIRECTED VERDICT

Now comes the defendant and on all the pleadings and evidence moves for a directed verdict on Count I of the Complaint (Count II having been previously dismissed voluntarily) on the following stated grounds:

- There is no evidence that the defendant Barrie Paster, M.D. was negligent in his
  care or breached any legal duty owed to Mr. Pernokas.
- 2. There was no evidence to support any claim for medical expenses.
- 3. There is no evidence to support a claim that Mr. Pernokas has a decreased life expectancy or that he will not survive his disease. The only evidence is that he more probably than not will survive without recurrence.
- 4. There is no evidence that the stage of Mr. Pernokas' disease, the treatment he received and/or the results of that treatment would have been any different other than if his disease was diagnosed by September October, 1998.
- There is no evidence that anything either done or not done by Dr. Paster in his
  care of Mr. Pernokas caused harm.

Dence S. 1/Len 5/15/07

There is no basis in law or fact for any recovery or claim for alleged "loss of 6. chance."

entfully submitted,

Charles P. Reidy, III

B.B.O. No.: 415720

Attorney for Defendant,

Barrie Paster, M.D. Martin, Magnuson, McCarthy

& Kenney

101 Merrimac Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02114

(617) 227-3240